

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RICHARD W. PETERS,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
C/O RAYMOND, *et al.*,  
Defendants. } Case No.: 3:15-CV-00493-RCJ-WGC  
ORDER }

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 117<sup>1</sup>) entered on October 1, 2018, recommending that the Court grant and deny in part Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 88). On October 29, 2018, Plaintiff filed Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 126).

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 117) entered on October 1, 2018 should be ADOPTED and ACCEPTED.

111

111


<sup>1</sup> Refers to Court's docket number.

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF  
2 No. 88) is DENIED on the basis that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative  
3 remedies.

4  
5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment  
6 (ECF No. 88) is GRANTED in favor of Defendants BROOKS, RAYMOND and BACA  
7 and DENIED as to Defendant BRANNON.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
9

10 Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.  
11

12   
13 ROBERT C. JONES  
14 Senior District Judge